Case 1:07-cv-04310-AKH Document	1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
WILLIAM BERNARD AND LISA BERNARD	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	tein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE O	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	with an '\(\overline{\mathbb{U}}\)'' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, WILLIAM BERNARD AND LISA GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, comp	BERNARD, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY plaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:
I. PAR	TIES
A. PLAIN	
1. ☑ Plaintiff, WILLIAM BERNARD (hand a citizen of Pennsylvania residing at 1002 Hearthstop) (OR	
2. Alternatively, □ is t	he of Decedent

Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

_____, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of ______

Case 1:07-cv-04310-AKH Document	1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11
Pennsylvania residing at 1002 Hearthstone Way, Lew relationship to the Injured Plaintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times has WILLIAM BERNARD, and be the injuries sustained by her hu	r the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of visburg, PA 17837-, and has the following herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff WILLIAM BERNARD. Other:
4. In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/18/2 Police Department (NYPD) as a Lieutenant at:	001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York
Please be as specific as possible when fil	lling in the following dates and locations
The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.) From on or about _9/11/2001_ until _9/18/2001_; Approximately _16_ hours per day; for Approximately _7_ days total.	☐ The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total. ☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
The Fresh Kills Landfill From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
*Continue this information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separate	
above; Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
	✓ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and served on 11/2/06 and	✓ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC. ✓ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC. ☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CONTONTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 11/2/06	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	\square CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-04310-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

□ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; □; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); □ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); □ Other (specify):; ☑ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441. III CAUSES OF ACTION			
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
Y	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-04310-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury:			Cardiovascular Injury:
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Respiratory Injury: To be supplied.		\checkmark	Fear of Cancer
	Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date			Date of onset: <u>To be supplied at a later</u>
	Date physician first connected this injury to			date Data physician first connected this injury
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			Date physician first connected this injury
				to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later</u> date
				date
	Digestive Injury:			Other Injury:
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	usti	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			ies identified in paragraph "1", above, the
Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compe		ne future suffer the following compensable		
dama	ges. ====================================			
	Pain and suffering			
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
IV I	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
∨				
	earning capacity			
	earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			
\checkmark	earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits			
▽	earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			
\checkmark	earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation Other:			
▽	earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation Other: Mental anguish			
▽	earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability			
▽	earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation Other: Mental anguish			

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 5, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), William Bernard and Lisa Bernard

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
March 5, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket N	No:
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
====	William Bernard (and Wife, Lisa Bernard),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
====	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===== F	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 atM. Dated,
	Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP